

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Drum) "From Durneck Company of Los Angeles Shipping Department 1911 Fifth Street Altoona, Pennsylvania"; (12- and 36-bottle lots) "Myrel \* \* \* Distributed By Durneck Co., Los Angeles, Calif."; and (250- and 160-bottle lots) "Dorel \* \* \* Distributed by Durneck Co., Los Angeles, Calif."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (e) (2), the tablets in the drums were fabricated from two or more ingredients, and they failed to bear a label containing the common or usual name of each active ingredient and the quantity or proportion of acetophenetidin contained therein; and, Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling of the tablets in the drums failed to bear adequate directions for use.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the bottle labels of the "Myrel" and "Dorel" tablets were false and misleading. These statements represented and suggested that the tablets would be effective in the palliative relief of muscular aches and pains associated with rheumatism, arthritis, neuralgia, neuritis, sciatica, and lumbago; and that the tablets which were labeled "Myrel" would be effective in the treatment of certain forms of lowered vitality associated with rheumatism, arthritis, neuralgia, neuritis, sciatica, and lumbago, and in the treatment of the systemic disturbance of insufficiency of certain vital elements, sometimes found in those conditions. The tablets would not be effective in the treatment of such conditions.

**DISPOSITION:** August 18, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**2858. Misbranding of Vit-An-Min. U. S. v. 240 Cartons \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 27151. Sample No. 20028-K.)**

**LABEL FILED:** On or about April 29, 1949, Western District of Missouri.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about April 1 and 5, 1949, by S. & R. Laboratories, Inc., from Chicago, Ill.

**PRODUCT:** 240 11½-ounce cartons of *Vit-An-Min* at Kansas City, Mo.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in an accompanying circular entitled "Here's To Your Health" were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that common food cannot be relied upon to supply the vitamins and minerals essential to man for normal health, whereas there is no difficulty in obtaining the vitamins and minerals needed by consumption of common foods.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling of the article failed to bear adequate directions for use for the purposes for which it was intended.

**DISPOSITION:** August 30, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**2859. Misbranding of 5 unlabeled light devices. U. S. v. 5 Devices, etc. (F. D. C. No. 27270. Sample No. 9546-K.)**

**LABEL FILED:** June 7, 1949, Southern District of New York.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** The devices were shipped on or about April 13, 1949, from Pittsburgh, Pa.